In a time with rapidly escalating health care costs, it is clear that the best way to address health resource allocation is not merely pouring money into disease treatments. Instead, by investing in programs that encourage healthy living, we can prevent many of the chronic diseases that plague our society today. Treating disease is more expensive than preventing its onset, and therefore it makes good economic sense to support prevention programs that offer long-term outcomes.

Moreover, the efficacy of lifestyle changes are reflected in clinical practice guidelines, such as those of the Canadian Working Group on Hypercholesterolemia and Other Dyslipidemias.

As one of the greatest burdens on our health care system and the leading cause of death in North America, heart disease has a direct impact on 1.3 million Canadians and costs taxpayers over $22.2 billion per year. Public awareness has significantly increased in recent years, thanks to non-profit organizations and public health organizations garnering interest in heart disease and promoting heart health. Still, 9 in 10 Canadians have a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, including hypertension, diabetes, high cholesterol, obesity, and physical inactivity.

HEALTHY HEART PROGRAM

Prevention and lifestyle modifications seem to be the buzzwords in heart health, and we are fortunate to be able to offer the Healthy...
Heart Program to patients. Operating out of St. Paul’s Hospital, this program provides a multi-disciplinary approach to the primary and secondary prevention of heart disease. Primary prevention refers to the management of individuals with cardiovascular disease risk factors, while secondary prevention is directed towards patients who have had a previous cardiac event. There are two arms to the Healthy Heart Program: the Prevention Clinic and the Cardiac Rehabilitation Program. Both clinics make use of a team-based approach to cardiac health and regular encouragement to ensure clients stay on track to reduce their cardiovascular risk.

Founded originally as the Lipid Clinic at Shaughnessy Hospital, family physicians and cardiologists regularly refer patients to the Healthy Heart Prevention Clinic. One of the first steps to health prevention is effectively reaching the target population: via direct referral, primary care providers can inform patients and refer them to this invaluable program. With a convenient referral process making health prevention accessible to primary care providers across the province, this model has been replicated at health prevention programs at other hospitals.

In the Cardiac Rehabilitation Program, the focus is on the rehabilitation and secondary prevention of cardiovascular events. Patients are encouraged to take the necessary steps to address their prior cardiovascular history (ie. heart attack) and to work towards preventing future events. A multi-focal approach to cardiac rehabilitation has long proven that risk factor reduction, such as through regular exercise, will decrease mortality. The Stanford Coronary Risk Intervention Project employed a multifactor risk factor reduction strategy, involving a low-fat and low-cholesterol diet, exercise, weight loss, smoking cessation, and medications to significantly reduce in measurable coronary artery disease and cardiac event-related hospitalizations. Both the Prevention Clinic and Cardiac Rehabilitation Program make use of these findings and promote a healthy diet, regular exercise, and other lifestyle modifications.

TEAM-BASED APPROACH TO PATIENT EDUCATION AND EMPOWERMENT

Health education goes hand-in-hand with disease prevention, but finding an effective method to educate patients can often be a challenge. Rather than using information pamphlets with no target population, the Healthy Heart Program employs a patient-centered approach for health education, facilitated by a multi-disciplinary team of health professionals to provide a sound, consistent approach that allows for effective patient education and implementation into clinical practice. Upon their initial visit, patients are greeted by a registered nurse (trained as a patient educator) who introduces and explains key concepts including risk factors, while secondary prevention is directed towards patients who have had a previous cardiac event. There are two arms to the Healthy Heart Program: the Prevention Clinic and the Cardiac Rehabilitation Program. Both clinics make use of a team-based approach to cardiac health and regular encouragement to ensure clients stay on track to reduce their cardiovascular risk.

ENCOURAGING A HEALTHY LIFESTYLE

Yet, the question becomes how to prevent heart disease, and what barriers might prevent patients from leading the idealistic ‘healthy lifestyle’. Lack of motivation is often blamed when patients fail to meet risk reductions, when in fact, many patients are simply unaware of what steps they can take to lead a healthier lifestyle. In an interview with a Dietitian, we learn that many patients are often surprised to learn which foods contribute to their weight, and that most of these foods can be substituted for healthier alternatives. Dietary interventions have been shown to effectively reduce cardiovascular risk, and these can be implemented through consultations with a dietitian. Similarly, physical activity can play a significant role in the primary and secondary prevention of chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease. Thus, exercise is prescribed at the Healthy Heart Program just like any other medication, and resources, such as regular exercise classes, are provided to support patients. In many cases, patients are keen to take responsibility of their health and reduce their cardiovascular risk factors, but require support from the health care system to help them get started. The one-on-one approach with a number of health disciplines helps to ensure patients’ needs are thoroughly addressed in order to make a real change in reducing the cardiovascular risk in our community. Plenty of evidence supports the goal of risk factor reduction, including dietary management and exercise, to decrease mortality and cardiovascular-related hospitalizations. Interest in additional follow-up for these patients, after completing the Cardiac Rehabilitation Program, may suggest even further Framingham risk reduction, although non-significant and only after a one-year intervention period. To address this, there is a community branch to the program: Happy Hearts Plus consists of education and exercise classes for secondary prevention in low-risk individuals that have completed the Cardiac Rehabilitation Program.

CLOSING THOUGHTS

By incorporating multiple disciplines, the Healthy Heart Program takes advantage of different expertise to more fully address the populations whom it serves, highlighting the fact that heart disease is a multi-faceted problem – one that cannot be addressed simply with drugs or diet alone, but with a coordinated approach incorporating lifestyle and sometimes pharmacologic changes. Preliminary research into the Healthy Heart Program suggests an improvement in lipid management and blood pressure. This team-based interdisciplinary approach seems to translate into better education and better patient outcomes, and may serve as an invaluable model for clinics aimed at the prevention and management of other chronic diseases.
INTRODUCTION

The International Association for the Study of Pain defines pain as “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage.”1 As opposed to nociception, which describes the neural pathways and biochemical events that arise from noxious stimuli, pain is a complex quality affected by many psychological factors.1 In the clinic, patients are often asked to rank their level of perceived pain on a standardized scale and to give a verbal, qualitative description of their pain. Despite their subjectivity, these questions are easy to ask, eliciting quick responses from patients who are able to communicate their pain. Nevertheless, scientists have experimented with more objective methods of assessing pain down to its nociceptive, molecular level. For instance, c–Fos is a protein marker that has been shown in animal studies to correlate well with many parameters of pain. However, it is questionable whether or not these techniques can eventually replace current methods of pain evaluation in patients. This commentary argues for the merit of pain scales and questionnaires in assessing the multidimensional phenomenon of pain even if the quantification of nociception, currently done primarily in animal studies, should someday be made feasible in humans.
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ABSTRACT

Current methods of assessing pain in patients include standardized questionnaires, numeric scales, and face scales. New methods of quantifying nociception are on the horizon, stemming from the discovery that numerous molecular markers of nociception correlate well with the many parameters of pain. However, it is questionable whether or not these techniques can eventually replace current methods of pain evaluation in patients. This commentary argues for the merit of pain scales and questionnaires in assessing the multidimensional phenomenon of pain even if the quantification of nociception, currently done primarily in animal studies, should someday be made feasible in humans.
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